

Kleros Case 360

Submitter Argument

The Challenger in this case has spent over 10 days trying to block a hand-drawn Kleros image from being included in the High Impact list and has continuously moved the goalposts to not admit this Submission.

The Submission was originally submitted with over 3,000 impressions, 25 retweets and nearly 100 likes at the time of posting. Tweets that are “liked” by users are shown on the timelines of that user’s followers, therefore Likes and Retweets should both count as shares as they effectively share that material to new users. At the time of submission this post met both the submission criteria for social media shares as well as having a significant audience on the platform. The image was Retweeted by Federico Ast prior to submission and the viewership metrics of that retweet are unknown, but likely significantly higher than the original tweet itself due to Mr. Ast’s larger following. It is clear this submission should have been accepted from the offset.

But the Challenger, who has competing submissions submitted into High Impact, chose to try to challenge the Submission on a technicality.

The Challenger first argued that the image did not have 50 retweets and that Likes do not count as shares. This argument was invalid because Likes do effectively share content, but the Submitter worked to spread their submission to reach the 50 retweets anyways--they succeeded.

The Challenger then argued that twitter impressions do not count as views and that the 3,000 impressions should not count, the Challenger also ignored the significant audience on Twitter that a retweet from Mr. Ast provides. Nonetheless, the Submitter worked to spread their submission and garnered over 5,000 impressions and over 700 engagements.

The Challenger now argues that because the submission has continued to grow and spread since the submission date, by effort of the submitter, its current retweet and viewership metrics should not count.

The Challenger argues for the purity and fairness of the Kleros System, all the while using his influence to vote on every Round of this case despite his clear conflict of interest. For this 3rd Appeal, the Challenger was even granted three (3) votes and immediately voted NO thrice.

This submission has met the requirements of High Impact in two categories, it has grown and spread around the crypto / defi community thereby spreading the Kleros concept, and has already won one appeal despite dealing with the challenger himself voting on every round. This submission qualified from the first time it was submitted, but the Challenger has moved the goalposts over and over, and every time the Submission has grown to meet the Challenger’s new requirements, now he argues that growth after submission time cannot count.

In closing, this submission met the requirements for High Impact from the first moment it was submitted and has only grown to solidify its qualification over time. Every bar that the Challenger has set for the Submission has been met, and thus this Submission must be accepted by adhering to the requirements and working diligently to prove its value to the jurors and the Kleros community.