


The video was not enlarged using stripes; the video was EDITED to remove part of the background.

Technically the dimensions of the video are 640 x 480.



Vídeo	
Duración	00:00:14
Ancho fotograma	640
Alto fotograma	480

According to the rules, the video complies with:

- ✓ The sign should display in a readable manner the full Ethereum address of the submitter (No ENS; no ellipsis). The sign can be a screen. The submitter must show the sign in the right orientation to be read on the video.
- ✓ The submitter must say « I certify that I am a real human and that I am not already registered in this registry ». Submitters should speak in their normal voice.
- ✓ The video quality should be at least 360p, at most 2 minutes long, and in the webm or MP4 format. Lighting conditions and recording device quality should be sufficient to discern facial features and characters composing the Ethereum address displayed.
- ✓ The quality of the audio should be high enough such that the speaker can be understood clearly.
- ✓ The face of the submitter should follow the same requirements than for the photo
- ✓ Be sure that the preview of your video works as expected before funding your submission. Even if your video file format is compatible, the codec inside might not be supported by popular web browsers.

Now, having demonstrated that the rules have been followed correctly, we could take into account what the challenger points out.

What he actually infers is that the AREA INITIALLY SHOWN by the video has been reduced. We all agree on that, but nevertheless, technically this DOES NOT MAKE the video smaller.


Altering the video using stripes by making the BACKGROUND smaller, without altering the visible area of the HUMAN BEING:

1. Does not make the video smaller.
2. It does not restrict the detection capability of facial recognition algorithms.
3. It does not minimize the ability of other human beings to vouch for the humanity of the profile in question.
4. Therefore does not restrict the ability to curate the list with similar profiles.

In this particular matter, it is important to highlight that the objective is to declare the humanity of a person, for which we rely on a SET OF RULES, and taking into account that there are points where the rules can be affected by loopholes, then we have a court that can better interpret whether the protocol is really being violated or not.

For which I prepared an example of what could happen if we set aside the regulation and misinterpret the purpose of the protocol.

Video using stripes:



Video using black curtains (or any other black physical barricade):



Perhaps you may think it is the same video. But maybe not (wry smile).

Would the first one be rejected and the second one accepted?

Would we then be judging the humanity or just the material (or pixel color) the background is made of?

It is up to you to judge correctly.

Thank you.