

0x9f11 has said:

“The “Accept/Reject” criteria in *italic* listed below are exemplary guidelines to help people judge cases more objectively.”

He is right, this tweet has 335 engagement, and 16 retweets.

If we judge more objectively, it's far away from the 50 rt and 500 views (in an article) that the guidelines propose as a High Impact submission. Is clear for all that views on twitter are just impressions, not views. In this case, this tweet is an image, and if the reader wants to watch it, has to click on the image. In this case, this “click” is an engagement. So I think that engagement is a good metric.

also 0x9f11 has said:

“2. Even if we would enforce these criteria as hard rules, this submission would STILL comply with the policy – unironically.”

With the numbers provided by the submitter itself, this is false, and has proved already.

0x8Dca has said:

“The meme has more than 50 cumulative retweets, thus is shared by 50 users on their personal Twitter feed. Should we not encourage people to cite the meme and share it with their network?”

In the evidence provided, the original tweet has 16 rt. The Federico's retweet, something the submitter has presented as supporting material, has 9 RT TODAY. The cumulative retweets are 25, the half of what the guidelines said. So, the assumption of having more than 50 cumulative retweets it's **false**.

(<https://twitter.com/federicoast/status/1295368689827622913?s=20>)



And again, you can not confuse the jurors with the current metrics of the image, because they are not part of this dispute. This case it's about the item AT THE SUBMISSION TIME. It's pretty clear that NOW you have accomplished the requirements of the guidelines, and you been so energetic showing this numbers it's clear that you know that has to accomplish them the first time