An Open Letter to Kleros

As a hodling investor in Kleros, I feel obliged to do everything I can to protect the project, I believe in the original concept, but it is being perverted.

It is ridiculous that it is allowed for the plaintiff to be a sitting juror in the case or related cases they brought forward!

It is ridiculous that a juror is allowed to submit "evidence" in a case they are sitting on!

It leaves me in awe that anyone can consider that justice.  This policy needs to be changed; and changed before the appeals.  How can we even pretend that Kleros can approximate justice otherwise?

And before I take any further public action that might bring the project into disrepute, I would like to ask for help to put things back on course.

I am the subject of what feels to me like the biggest witch hunt that the Kleros courts has ever been involved in.  I have pointed to many issues in my [Manifesto](https://ipfs.kleros.io/ipfs/QmYR6EQph1SDCdB5vRWVZkZHJYwgsuRYLQhBvVGMrwdXd2/manifesto-of-the-cryptoevangelist-copy.docx) published first in case #833. But I have been by no means exhaustive in my expose of the crimes committed against me and my group by some of the actual jurors.

I have been criticized by some for my refusal to give in to this farce more than I have.  I will point out, I complied at first.  Only to be libelled further. When I put forward evidence of "Hermin" claiming his own ETH account in his native tongue, the response in the forum was: "the only thing missing is the shadow of the gun".

I am outraged at the actions of a few of the jurors openly admitting that regardless of the evidence they will vote against the people I represent only because they don't like me.

Some jurors are openly campaigning in public forums, in what I believe to be an attempt to co-ordinate jury collusion. Not only would this be criminal in any other court, most would not even permit a juror to be reading the forum discussions regarding a case they were sitting on.

Essentially it seems a few ill-intentioned jurors; intend to win this argument not on its merits but in the belief that they can raise the bet high enough that they can win when I can't match their capital, hence my anger, and my response to essentially call their bluff. {as if this were a mere poker game and not people's lives} I don't want to raise the attention needed to win this in the court of public opinion, but I may be forced to do so.  I don't personally have the funding to "call" against millionaires. To call their bet I will need to go public and ask for crowd funding.  I will have to give interviews.  I will have to do many things that are distasteful to me.

I don't want to set a precedent in a court of law that lets the less than perfect traditional court system claim jurisdiction over Kleros.

I know it would be improper to make any changes during this round.  But before the appeals, I think Kleros as an organization needs to investigate the corruption I am alleging and issue clear guidelines.

Furthermore, there needs to be a way for me to through Kleros, take direct action against a juror that expressly ignores the rules and that may be acting criminally.

It's not fair to hold all of the jurors accountable for the bad ones.  But I don't know how better to respond.  I am trying my best.  These are difficult times for my group and we are suffering more than you know.  And it is not my fault that this has spilled over past Kleros, and past UBI, into public forums on the internet and into the physical real world.

I am sorry that the jurors don't have all of the evidence at their disposal right now. I have to think about what is best for those I am representing. All I can say to the good jurors is; look at the forums, look at the rest of what is going on.

Forgive me my mistakes, I am only human after all and all I can do is my best and I have never tried harder to do right. I am advocating to the best of my abilities. And I point out it wasn't me that grouped these cases, and if they had been left as individual challenges I would have countered them as such.  But it was the jurors that linked the cases not me. So if they can do that, so can I, and in sum total I feel that the evidence presented so far in the cases I have individually addressed are enough to refute all of the original accusations.

Furthermore I suggest you look at all 11 submissions that were pending at the time of these challenges and consider why the only one that wasn’t challenged wasn’t… Can you spot what is different about the only unchallenged pending submission?

But as the malicious jurors have said online, it doesn't matter what I present.

[When I refute one allegation they move the bar and accuse me of something else]

Some in the Kleros community have said not to worry that in the end the bad actors will lose because of game theory.   Well I think that affirmation is about to be tested.  There can be no doubt I have refuted some of these cases beyond question.

(Violetta didn't Kill herself)

Sincerely

The CryptoEvangelist