

I sustain the view that the token listed as ETHLend (LEND) must be delisted and eventually uploaded as Aave (LEND) or either LEND (LEND), being Aave (LEND) by far the most used name.

The challenger argues that the owners of the project call it ETHLend.

My answer: that is right, but the t2cr policy explicitly express:

► The name should be the most commonly used name to refer to the asset. It does not necessarily need to be the **official name given by project creators nor the one in the token contract**.

The most commonly used name for referring to the asset is really either Aave LEND or eventually only LEND. ETHLend is used in very few websites, and is clearly not the name by which the community knows the asset (which is probably only LEND).

A search in google with the exact chain “Aave (LEND)” and with the chain “ETHLend (LEND)” gives the following results:

“Aave (LEND)”: 1,250,000 results

A screenshot of a Google search results page. The search bar at the top contains the query "Aave (LEND)". Below the search bar, there are several navigation links: "Todo" (selected), "Noticias", "Imágenes", "Videos", "Maps", and a more options menu. A status message at the bottom of the search bar area says "Cerca de 1.250.000 resultados (0,30 segundos)".

“ETHLend (LEND)”: 305,000 results

A screenshot of a Google search results page. The search bar at the top contains the query "ETHLend (LEND)". Below the search bar, there are several navigation links: "Todo" (selected), "Noticias", "Imágenes", "Videos", "Maps", and a more options menu. A status message at the bottom of the search bar area says "Cerca de 305.000 resultados (0,32 segundos)".

But also the most viewed websites listing cryptocurrencies are citing this token as Aave (LEND). Between them there are the already cited coingecko, coinmarketcap, and so on.

In order to put the case in perspective, let's see the PNK (Kleros token) case, which is a comparable one. The name given to the native token by the project creators is Pinakion, which is the name in the contract (Etherscan). It is also the name cited in Kleros website and within all the relevant documentation. However, the T2CR list it as Kleros (PNK), and no as Pinakion (PNK). In fact, an attempt to list it as Pinakion was rejected and one of the arguments of the challenger was not most used name (see <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x9baffd2c27138f708a3296a6ea112f1bd22ac6eda02c125ea76ca4491ccf3ce1>).

Let's see what happens in this case with the google searches:



"Kleros (PNK)"

Todo

Noticias

Imágenes

Vídeos

Maps

Más

Cerca de 259.000 resultados (0,28 segundos)



"Pinakion (PNK)"

Todo

Imágenes

Maps

Noticias

Vídeos

M

Cerca de 26.600 resultados (0,32 segundos)

Again, as in the case of the LEND, results naming it Kleros are overwhelmingly more numerous. Again, most relevant websites, like coingecko, cmc, and so on list it as Kleros (PNK). That is the reason why it is listed as Kleros (PNK) in the TCR.

In conclusion, why would we give a different treatment to the LEND token? Consistency is very important in making this list. Furthermore, the community don't ever mention it as ETHLend. An attempt to correctly list it as Aave (LEND) was recently rejected because ETHLend was not previously delisted (see

<https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x86ce77732e7b2facfb10d86549df2e25d3bbbc3389665c0103c658ed3e901870>