

Cap appeal

Let me first start my laying out the course of events. Firstly, the Cap submission was challenged on the basis of two violations.


1. Submitted logo does not match the official and most used logo.
2. Submitted logo does not take most of the space available in the image.

Evidence was then provided by the challenger, while the submitter did not provide any evidence at all. Not during the evidence phase, not during the voting phase, and also not before or after an appeal was made. As the jurors already ruled against listing this submission and no further evidence was provided by the submitter, the ruling of the first round should be maintained during the appeal. Jurors however already started to vote before any evidence was provided to them, thus basing their voting decision on nothing.

In this document, arguments will be provided against listing the challenged submission of Cap. At the end the arguments of the submitter will be refuted. If any of the jurors has any question, feel free to ask me in the comments section of the dispute.

Submitted logo does not match the official and most used logo

Below you can see the official Cap logo, it consists of a cap symbol displayed on top of a black background.



The following sources all use the same logo:

1. CoinMarketCap (<https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/cap/>)
2. CoinGecko (<https://www.coingecko.com/en/coins/cap>)
3. Etherscan (<https://etherscan.io/token/0x43044f861ec040DB59A7e324c40507>)
4. Cap Telegram (<https://t.me/capfin>)
5. Cap Twitter (<https://twitter.com/CapDotFinance>)


The above mentioned sources are all either highly reputable, or even an official Cap source. Data in the TCR should match that of other reputable and commonly used sources. The black background with a green cap symbol is consistently used by various sources.

Additionally, Abe, the owner of Cap, confirmed that the most commonly used logo is indeed the one with the black background.



Submitted logo does not take most of the space available in the image

As stated in the listing policy, submitted logos should fill as much of the available space. Obviously some logos can't easily fulfill this requirement, for instance those with a little amount of pixels for its height or width. In such a situation, the logo should at least fulfill this requirement in either width or height. The submitted logo does not reach the edges in both dimensions. As you can see below, the submitted logo leaves free space available on four different sides; left, right, top and bottom.




Even if the correct logo would be a cap symbol with a transparent background (which it's not), then the logo should have been cropped before uploading it to the TCR.

Response to submitter's evidence

In the following section I will refute both of submitter's claims

"To the Challenger's first argument: The first icon image displayed is merely a thumbnail image. If you save or open the image in a new tab, you will find that it measures within the allotted measurements at approximately 416x166 pixels"

This is simply not true. If you download the logo and open it in a photo editor, you can see that left, right, above and underneath of the cap symbol available space is left. This makes the logo look small and not consistent with the rest of the TCR. Below you can see the difference that this makes, in comparison to other submissions. Both horizontally and vertically the logo lacks pixels, creating a size difference.



"As for the second argument: Yes the commonly used logo consists of a black background. However, the policy explicitly states, "Attached Logos should be PNG format with a transparent background."

Submitter admits that the most commonly used logo consists of a black background, but tries to refute the argument of using the most commonly used logo by saying that the policy explicitly states that logos should have a transparent background. This is not true, not all logos are supposed to have a transparent background. This rule exists to prevent submissions where the background is supposed to be transparent, but is white instead.



When a coloured background is a feature of a logo, it should be submitted like that. The following accepted TCR submission all consist of a coloured background, because their most commonly used logos use a coloured background.:

1. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x5e37957118b06de1fd77c55eeb6c5472948790b62f16a8950f4bc4f7a7dfed40>
2. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x8d8404521e86ecb1893dd5ff53bddea738fa2997ce715f79328c8bfe39e29f87>
3. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x9fb0fe3f45f4ff27f7d5fa0c0632b504c8a42ecafe277cfb527479c9435cdb8e>
4. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x5d4bc3180c0f995a141a473fe2812d955349ade31b5ca8eec7c2f59b6a4675b1>
5. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x2eee76e41c00f3430a7dab9c3c37592d06c3e6b49c83d9da2ba167c706a195db>
6. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x659bda39f3d10c575fb2cdf5ff6cd7f810ce0794abf06e7b08d69ab824ed31ae>
7. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0xa5938eb541a0183eb63fb097462f911e4576ee3b012c9c894e94c7263d8bf3e8>
8. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x955daf46b7da1458dc9cb88c556ab5d1fa7464f7a361ff26b1dd6fcb596bb593>
9. <https://tokens.kleros.io/token/0x3e7ba658a685f54e8de359d56898af86d0612b157521e7efa50b916721563fab>

The black background is also a feature of the Cap logo, therefore it should also be displayed like that in the TCR

Based on multiple violations of the listing policy, jurors should maintain the ruling of the first round and reject this submission. Jurisprudence also shows that the most commonly used logo should be provided, which was not. Therefore I urge the remaining jurors to vote NO, to stay consistent with past rulings and accepted tokens in TCR.