Presented by the CryptoEvangelist,

This is Limon (not his real name),

We are standing in front of his home. He lives with his extended family in this modest abode. When it rains, you can’t hear over the overwhelming sound of the rain crashing down on the tin roof. There are no computers or telephones in his house. To contact him, you have to call a friend of his one street over or failing that, you simply have to come over to say hi. I apologize for the sound of the wind in the video, we didn’t want to disturb the other members of the family inside who were sleeping having worked the night shift. For your convenience I am submitting the transcript of his statement both in the original English that I drafted his statement in and the Spanish that the internet helped me to translate it to. It should be noted that it is common practice in real court cases to read a prepared statement, usually drafted by legal counsel. This does not bring his testimony into suspicion, unless anyone wants to claim he is too incompetent to understand what he is reading.

Limon is one of the brave ones. He and many of his friends trusted me to help them but unfortunately because of the malfeasance of others, I have not managed to help most of his friends who were too scared off to fight for their rights because of the ridiculous events that ensued after my first attempt to help.

Think about it, a man from Canada (NOT ATTICUS) shows up one day and tells of something they have never even heard of before (crypto currency) and claims that it is their right to apply for and receive UBI. Essentially I promised them free money; a claim that in and of itself would inspire skepticism and disbelief. I further claimed that there is no risk to them and they have the right to remain private. For the people like Limon without even the requisite electronics to apply, I don’t even bother explaining that I have to put up my money to bond their application; I just do it to help them. [If the promise of UBI hadn’t of been a lie and an exit scam for Kleros, I would have been able to offer Limon his first (cheep) smart phone a month or so after his acceptance into the program]. And from there help him down the path of crytoliteracy.

But instead some ill-intentioned millionaires decide with reckless disregard for humanity to defame me and attack those whom I was trying to help. Still to this day, not one actual victim has come forward to say I harmed them. And no one seems to care about the actual criminal actions that have been carried out against us despite the demonstrable harm that has been done to us. But in making those criminally false accusations, I was forced to defend our applications and thereby I was immediately made a liar to these people. I was forced to explain that though I initially believed their applications were private and safe, that in fact POH has been from the start violating their privacy by collecting and publishing their meta-data revealing their locations.

How much trust do you think I lost when I had to explain that to these people?

I further had to explain that I required more videos from them. And I had to explain to Hermin that even though we provided more proof, that his claim was denied with a further accusation that there may have been a gun to his head. Kleros made a fool out of me. So yes not all were brave enough to continue the fight, and why should they. Kleros has made it abundantly clear that the process isn’t at all about any kind of justice [in fact I have been directly told by a member of the jury in one of our cases that they don’t care at all about what is right but that they would vote against me solely because they don’t like me]; it was a scam to keep Kleros afloat.

So if you are wondering why not everyone that I represented put up a defense… reason it through. Would you have continued with this farce after seeing what they saw? Or would you have walked away from this circus? Limon chose to help me, as I was trying to help him. And I thank him for his courage.

Now that we have that back story out of the way, let’s discuss what is at issue here.

Unless there is going to be another claim that I held a gun to his head in the middle of the street in broad daylight there can be only one more question:

Does a person have the right to choose a representative to help them claim a benefit that is supposed to be available to everyone but clearly isn’t? Why are only English applications accepted?

Clearly children have been allowed into POH so I would suggest that by virtue of this fact alone there is a president for managed accounts.

Next, I don’t think anyone would disagree that there are certainly accounts being managed by a husband or a wife on behalf of their partner. So obviously that is allowed as well.

I can further attest that not one of the managed accounts that I manage on behalf of Canadians has been challenged, even the one that was made at the same time as the other challenged accounts. Why the double standard? Where is the challenge to Chip’s account?

As a principle of common law, any property right can be delegated. This is a fact. Furthermore there is nothing in the documentation of POH that restricts the property rights of the recipient of UBI and therefore there is no hindrance to the transferability of any of the property rights.

I urge the honest members of the jury to be weary of proclamations made by anonymous testimony and instead look to the primary documents and judge according to those, as is their mandate as jurors. There is no rule against helping someone with their account. I have proven that there is no fraud here. The only thing I am guilty of is trying to help people. Furthermore, the Blockchain tells no lies. I have never voted on any administered accounts, nor has a single penny been withdrawn. I and those I have helped stand the only victims to these miscarriages of justice.

In summation, the statement read by Limon clearly grants me a lawful power of attorney in this matter therefore you must accept this application as it complies with all POH documentation and honors the spirit of the document unless it really is a lie that UBI is available to everyone.

Limon 0x2727C97172b496b60F6E97865B49a0CA34a3F477

<https://gateway.pinata.cloud/ipfs/QmdbeH2suYUz1jrbM9mHSM2Lt6QHLPrvgfxo6HFqcuywjT>

Because I don’t want to forget anything I am choosing to read my statement in my native tongue.

I, the real human known as Limon in the Proof of Humanity profile; do hereby claim beneficial ownership of my Ethereum account, that I am holding in my hand.

By virtue of this right of ownership, I claim the right to delegate the management thereof through a limited power of Attorney to the CryptoEvangelist and I name him the administrator of my Proof of Humanity Profile with full authority to act on my behalf in regards to Proof of Humanity, Universal Basic Income, and the Kleros arbitration and I grant the CryptoEvangelist the authority to vote as he sees fit on my profile.

Before it is claimed that I don’t know what I am saying, I state for the record that I understand UBI is a crypto currency that is supposed to be available to all humans of the world at a rate of 1 UBI per hour; yet can only be applied for in English. I also understand having seen what my representative has gone through to defend me and my friends, that I would not have been able to represent myself. I further understand that this issuance of the UBI crypto currency is of monetary value, at least for the moment, as it seems to be heading towards a value of zero.

I further understand that the rules allow for administered accounts in the cases of children and people that need help. Since I don’t speak English and I don’t have a computer or a telephone; I need help. It is my right to choose my representative.

I further understand, that to not accept this lawful power of attorney, would be an admission of systematic racism and proof that I am being discriminated against.

How far does this circus have to go? Kleros is hurting real people.

Limon 0x2727C97172b496b60F6E97865B49a0CA34a3F477

Porque no quiero olvidar nada que estoy eligiendo leer mi declaración en mi lengua materna.

Yo, el verdadero humano conocido como Limon en el perfil de la Prueba de la Humanidad; por la presente reclamo la propiedad beneficiosa de mi cuenta de Ethereum, que estoy sosteniendo en mi mano.

En virtud de este derecho de propiedad, reclamo el derecho de delegar la gestión de la misma a través de un poder limitado de Abogado al CriptoEvangelista y le llamo el administrador de mi Perfil de la Prueba de la Humanidad con plena autoridad para actuar en mi nombre en relación con la Prueba de la Humanidad, Ingreso Básico Universal, y el arbitraje Kleros y yo le concedemos al CryptoEvangelista la autoridad para votar como él lo ve en mi perfil.

Antes de que se diga que no sé lo que estoy diciendo, declaro para que conste que entiendo que la UBI es una moneda criptográfica que se supone está disponible para todos los humanos del mundo a una tasa de 1 UBI por hora; Sin embargo, sólo se puede solicitar en inglés. También entiendo, después de haber visto lo que mi representante ha pasado para defenderme a mí y a mis amigos, que no habría podido representarme a mí mismo. Entiendo además que esta emisión de la moneda criptográfica de UBI es de valor monetario, al menos por el momento, ya que parece encaminarse hacia un valor de cero.

Entiendo además que las reglas permiten cuentas administradas en los casos de niños y personas que necesitan ayuda. Ya que no hablo inglés y no tengo una computadora o un teléfono; Necesito ayuda. Tengo derecho a elegir a mi representante.

Entiendo, además, que no aceptar este poder legal, sería una admisión de racismo sistemático y prueba de que estoy siendo discriminado.

¿Hasta dónde tiene que llegar este circo? Kleros está lastimando a personas reales.