



HELIAS

KLEROS' TOKEN LISTING COURT

Case #68

WINGS (WINGS) Token

10th May 2019

TL;DR: In accordance with the applicable rules, the token symbol should only should be centered and take most of the space available in the image; thus, the logo does not have to take up all the available space and the conformity of its size does not have to be assessed in relation to the other submitted logos as claimed by the Challenger; in this case, the logo is sufficiently large and comes from an official source; consequently, the listing request must be accepted.

1. DISPUTE STATEMENT

A request for a listing of the WINGS token has been submitted.

On 5 May 2019, this request was contested on the grounds that the logo submitted was smaller than those usually submitted.

2. APPLICABLE RULE

Token Curated List Policies state that *"The token symbol should be a transparent PNG. It should be centered and take most of the space available in the image. It should not*



HELIAS

include the project or token name unless the symbol always includes it. It should be of a definition high enough such it should not appear pixelated or blurry unless those are on-purpose features of the symbol. The logo should be fully included.”

The only rule relating to the size of the logo is that the token symbol **must take most of the space available in the image.**

Thus, the rule does not require that the token symbol **take the most of the space possible available in the image.**

In addition, it should be noted that the logo requirement is intended to illustrate the token and associate it with the graphic representation desired by its developer. It must be attached to the token submission request in such a way that it can be visible and controlled by the jurors.

3. APPLICATION TO THE CASE

It cannot be contested that the logo of the submitted token does not take up all the available space. In addition, it is also acknowledged that **the logo is smaller than most of the other submitted logos**, as highlighted by the Challenger. However, these arguments do not appear to be sufficient to consider that the submission is not in conformity.



First, the above rules **do not require that the logo take up all the available space**. They only require that the logo take up as much space as possible.

Secondly, the conformity of the requests must only be assessed in relation to the other requests if there is no higher value norm. In this case, however, there is a rule expressly referring to the size of the logo. The argument put forward by the Challenger is therefore inoperative.

Finally, the logo comes from an **official source of the project**. However, it cannot be considered that the Submitter should have modified an official source as long as that source was in compliance with the T2CR POLICY and the most reliable source.

The only argument raised by the Challenger is therefore to be ruled out.

CONSEQUENTLY, THE LISTING REQUEST IS ACCEPTED.

*
* *