

Evidence
for case #334
supporting the
CHALLENGER


The # 334 case, which is a simple case to solve, is being appealed repeatedly by the submitter, for the simple fact that he or she is not accepting the mistake made and we are going to prove it again in this file.

Dear members of the jury:

This case is very simple. The Kleros Storytelling - Standard Impact it's a list of items (stories, memes, gifs, etc) to promote the Kleros projects, dApps, etc. The conditions to create an item in this curated list is to provide a link of the content related to the submission. In this case, there is no link, either an author or a tittle. The main topic of this curated list it's about a content spreading the word of Kleros and we can't evaluate the submission because has no content (no link).

The submitter made a mistake, it's clear, all of us can make mistakes, but we, as community members, has to stay curated this list with items that met the listing policies. The evidence section, where the submitter has provided a link, it's not part of the curated list, it's part of the evidence section in the court to SUPPORT the item if it's challenged. After the submitter made the mistake, instead of resubmit the item to the list as he/she should do providing a link, author and tittle, choose to add a link in the evidence section, but that is not the correct thing to do according to the spirit and policies of this list.

If you, as jurors approve this item staying away of all the evidence presented to reject this item, will approve an empty item to this curated list, and that to me that s not a curated list. Take a look how will the list will be shown If it's approved:



Let's make an analogy with the Token Curated List. Suppose the submitter made a mistake and submit a token without the contract address and logo. Then **uniswap.ninja** will show up a token to trade that has not a smart contract, or a logo. That's seems correct to you?

The tittle we can accept that is not so relevant, but the author (to check if the item was made by the author who is submitting to the list), and the link are completely necessary. This is very important because THE KLEROS COOPERATIVE would be paying a prize for a non-existent story according to the Submitter's submission. That said, we must conclude that La Cooperative would have been scammed in its goodwill to reward people who spread their projects and dapps.

I know that the Jurors of Kleros will not be surprised for a well done pdf, with some images an decoration. I love the idea to present the evidence in this format, thank you submitter for the idea.

I actually think the submitter is trying to change the facts of this case to the analyze the item, but this case it's about HOW was submitted. I know that the jurors are not influenceable by the decoration of the evidence, instead they will follow the facts to approve or reject the items from a curated list.

It is clear that the history in dispute must be rejected from this list.