

**Subject:**

Evidence that a challenged Kleros Deversifi storytelling submission is not following listing criteria.

**Submission concerned:**

<https://curate.kleros.io/tcr/0x941b4A0dfDC7f15275A4cb6913b395647BB69Fc3/0xb94806f55738d346ba58ca29ed126ca55c184e845555e86205caac1d00c8c549>

**Main unrespected listing rule:**

**And should not be:**

> Mainly composed of offensive, pornographic or immoral content.


Accept: A video where one of the many interviewees is swearing. An artistic nude of a DeversiFi trader.

Reject: A pornographic clip with a performer wearing a DeversiFi t-shirt.

**> Has mainly fake interactions.**

Reject: The submitter paid for views of its YouTube video.

Screen captures below show that the submission is violating listing criteria by using a majority of fake interactions (retweets/likes) with faked twitter accounts.



Let's take a look at one of the accounts:



Mainly, those accounts :

- have no bio or partially completed bio
- have recently joined twitter
- have no interactions with anyone and are retweeting only (mostly the same tweets)
- have weird nicknames / pseudo / handles
- have no followers or fake ones
- etc...

**Please check by yourself :**

<https://twitter.com/IvanaGuinatica/status/1275132363333394432/likes>

<https://twitter.com/IvanaGuinatica/status/1275132363333394432/retweets>

**Therefore, this submission does not follow listing criteria and should be refused.**